

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,018	04/19/2004	Peter Brian Hrejsa	DDM04-010	2512
30137	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER	
*	ICE OF DONALD D. M	JIANG, CHEN WEN		
	3060 Bonsai Drive Plano, TX 75093		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		-	3744	
		DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,018	HREJSA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRIC	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	ugust 2006 -				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	,	r allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) La Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Temple et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,571,566).

Applicant asserts that Temple requires obtaining pressure measurements for carrying out the operation. Examiner notices that Temple discloses the embodiments require and do not require pressure measurement. The embodiment relied on in the Office Action is Fig.6, which does not require pressure measurement. Claim 4 of Temple also the ONE parameter is refrigerant subcooling. Temple et al. disclose a method of determining refrigerant charge level in an airconditioning system. Referring to Fig.6, the system comprises a compressor 18, a condenser 12, an evaporator, first sensor 90 measuring the temperature within the condenser, second sensor 28 measuring the temperature liquid refrigerant and microcontroller 94. Subcooling is computed by subtracting the liquid refrigerant temperature measured by sensor 28 from the condenser refrigerant temperature measured by sensor 90. The refrigerant charge level determination algorithm determines the refrigerant charge level based on the input from sensors. Temple et al. disclose when the predicted charge level is outside of the desired range, the offset from normal charge level is computed and a charge level adjustment is indicated to the user. A service

Page 3

technician or other user can add or subtract refrigerant charge to bring the charge level within acceptable limits. Therefore, the access fittings are inherent in the charging device to make the adjustment. Microcontroller 94 can communicate with a personal digital assistant, either by hardwire connection or wireless connection. In accordance with yet another embodiment of the invention, microcomputer 94 can communicate with a service technician's computer system via internet connection or modem connection, or by any other appropriate electronic or electromagnetic communication means. The refrigerant reservoir used for charging system is well known in the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houser Jr. (U.S. Patent Number 4,484,452) in view of Inoue (JP 03195829).

The teaching of Inoue is the charging based on the subcooling derived from temperature sensors 11 and 12. This charging criteria is adapted to Houser and the extra control criteria of room temperature is not disclosed by Houser and also not used in the teaching. Houser Jr. discloses an air-conditioning charge control system. Referring to Fig.1, the system comprises a compressor 13, a condenser 12, an evaporator 11, fitting 16e, refrigerant reservoir 20, refrigerant vessel 21, charge control valve 25, controller 28 temperature sensors and pressure sensors. Superheating and subcooling strategies are employed to maintain the charge level in the circuit at Art Unit: 3744

optimum performance level. Additional control strategy is employed to shift refrigerant charge into and out of the circuit transient operations. Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Houser discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Houser does not disclose claimed subcooling calculation. Inoue discloses subcooling based on the temperature difference between refrigerant saturation temperature measured by sensor 11 and the liquid refrigerant temperature measured by sensor 12 in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of calculating subcooling. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Houser with a subcooling calculation in view of Inoue so as to have alternative subcooling estimate. The communication with a distal site is well known in the prior art.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3744

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner